PRINCIPLES OF GNSS, INERTIAL, AND MULTISENSOR INTEGRATED NAVIGATION SYSTEMS

BY PAUL D. GROVES

Updates and Corrections to First Edition

LAST UPDATED: 27 July 2014

This document provides:

- Updates for information in the book that has become out of date;
- Corrections of errors in the book;
- Important additional notes and references.

To report an update or correction or to suggest an additional note or reference, please email the author at p.groves (at) ucl.ac.uk. No further updates or additions notes will be included here; readers are referred to the second edition updates page. However, corrections will continue to be posted.

© 2008–14. Paul D. Groves

| Section(s) | Page(s) | Update/ correction/ addition | |
|------------|---------|---|--|
| 1.3.1 | 9-10 | The Russian version of Omega, RSDN-20, also known as Alpha, was still operating at the time of writing, covering the North Eastern Hemisphere. Operation is likely to continue until the GLONASS constellation is completed. (16 Feb 2008) | |
| 1.3.2 | 10 | There are both civil and military versions of Tsikada, with the latter known as Tsikada-M or Parus. The military version is still operational. Again, operation is likely to continue until the GLONASS constellation is completed. (16 Feb 2008 and 22 Jun 2008) | |
| 1.3.1-2 | 9-10 | For information on historical navigation systems, including Omega, Decca, Loran-A and Transit, see on-line Appendix C. (10 May 2008) | |
| 2.2.3 | 29 | Equation (2.21) should be $ \mathbf{C}_{\beta}^{\alpha} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{q}_{\beta\alpha}) = \begin{pmatrix} q_{\beta\alpha0}^{2} + q_{\beta\alpha1}^{2} - q_{\beta\alpha2}^{2} - q_{\beta\alpha3}^{2} & 2(q_{\beta\alpha1}q_{\beta\alpha2} - q_{\beta\alpha3}q_{\beta\alpha0}) & 2(q_{\beta\alpha1}q_{\beta\alpha3} - q_{\beta\alpha2}q_{\beta\alpha0}) \\ 2(q_{\beta\alpha1}q_{\beta\alpha2} - q_{\beta\alpha3}q_{\beta\alpha0}) & q_{\beta\alpha0}^{2} - q_{\beta\alpha1}^{2} + q_{\beta\alpha2}^{2} - q_{\beta\alpha3}^{2} & 2(q_{\beta\alpha2}q_{\beta\alpha3} + q_{\beta\alpha1}q_{\beta\alpha0}) \\ 2(q_{\beta\alpha1}q_{\beta\alpha3} + q_{\beta\alpha2}q_{\beta\alpha0}) & 2(q_{\beta\alpha2}q_{\beta\alpha3} - q_{\beta\alpha1}q_{\beta\alpha0}) & q_{\beta\alpha0}^{2} - q_{\beta\alpha1}^{2} - q_{\beta\alpha2}^{2} + q_{\beta\alpha3}^{2} \\ 2(q_{\beta\alpha1}q_{\beta\alpha3} + q_{\beta\alpha2}q_{\beta\alpha0}) & 2(q_{\beta\alpha2}q_{\beta\alpha3} - q_{\beta\alpha1}q_{\beta\alpha0}) & q_{\beta\alpha0}^{2} - q_{\beta\alpha1}^{2} - q_{\beta\alpha2}^{2} + q_{\beta\alpha3}^{2} \\ \end{pmatrix}. $ (8 Jan 2012) | |
| 2.2.3 | 30 | Equation (2.22) should be $q_{\beta\alpha0} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + C^{\alpha}_{\beta1,1} + C^{\alpha}_{\beta2,2} + C^{\alpha}_{\beta3,3}} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + C^{\beta}_{\alpha1,1} + C^{\beta}_{\alpha2,2} + C^{\beta}_{\alpha3,3}}$ $q_{\beta\alpha1} = \frac{C^{\alpha}_{\beta2,3} - C^{\alpha}_{\beta3,2}}{4q_{\beta\alpha0}} = \frac{C^{\beta}_{\alpha3,2} - C^{\alpha}_{\alpha2,3}}{4q_{\beta\alpha0}}$ $q_{\beta\alpha2} = \frac{C^{\alpha}_{\beta3,1} - C^{\alpha}_{\beta1,3}}{4q_{\beta\alpha0}} = \frac{C^{\beta}_{\alpha1,3} - C^{\beta}_{\alpha3,1}}{4q_{\beta\alpha0}}$ $q_{\beta\alpha3} = \frac{C^{\alpha}_{\beta1,2} - C^{\alpha}_{\beta2,1}}{4q_{\beta\alpha0}} = \frac{C^{\beta}_{\alpha2,1} - C^{\beta}_{\alpha1,2}}{4q_{\beta\alpha0}}$ $q_{\beta\alpha} = \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{C}^{\alpha}_{\beta})$ (8 Jan 2012) | |

| Section(s) | Page(s) | Update/ correction/ addition |
|------------|---------|--|
| 2.2.8 | 35 | After equation (2.49), the text should refer to (2.49), not (2.46). (22 June 2008) |
| 2.3.2 | 37 | The equation reference in the line before (2.57) should be to (2.55), not (2.53). (24 May 2009) |
| 2.3.2 | 41 | R_E does not determine the rate of change of longitude for motion along the surface normal to a meridian; it determines the rate of change of the angle subtended at the rotation axis. Note that the rate of change of longitude for motion along a parallel at the surface is $R_E \cos L$. (25 Jan 2009) |
| 2.3.2 | 42 | The sin L_b component of Equation (2.71) is numerically unstable. Therefore, the following should be used instead: |
| | | $\tan L_{b} = \frac{z_{eb}^{e} [R_{E}(L_{b}) + h_{b}]}{\sqrt{x_{eb}^{e^{-2}} + y_{eb}^{e^{-2}}} [(1 - e^{2})R_{E}(L_{b}) + h_{b}]}$ $\tan \lambda_{b} = \frac{y_{eb}^{e}}{x_{eb}^{e}}$ $h_{b} = \frac{\sqrt{x_{eb}^{e^{-2}} + y_{eb}^{e^{-2}}}}{\cos L_{b}} - R_{E}(L_{b})$ (25 May 2009) |
| 2.4.1 | 50 | Equation (2.97) should be |
| 2.4.1 | 50 | $\mathbf{a}_{eb}^{e} = \mathbf{C}_{i}^{e} \left(\mathbf{a}_{ib}^{i} - 2\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ie}^{i} \mathbf{v}_{ib}^{i} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ie}^{i} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ie}^{i} \mathbf{r}_{ib}^{i} \right)$ $\mathbf{a}_{ib}^{i} = \mathbf{C}_{e}^{i} \left(\mathbf{a}_{eb}^{e} + 2\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ie}^{e} \mathbf{v}_{eb}^{e} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ie}^{e} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ee}^{e} \mathbf{r}_{eb}^{e} \right). $ (19 February 2012) |
| 2.4.3 | 51 | Equation (2.103) should be |
| | | $\mathbf{a}_{eb}^{n} = \mathbf{C}_{i}^{n} \left(\mathbf{a}_{ib}^{i} - 2\mathbf{\Omega}_{ie}^{i} \mathbf{v}_{ib}^{i} + \mathbf{\Omega}_{ie}^{i} \mathbf{\Omega}_{ie}^{i} \mathbf{r}_{ib}^{i} \right) \mathbf{a}_{ib}^{i} = \mathbf{C}_{n}^{i} \left(\mathbf{a}_{eb}^{n} + 2\mathbf{\Omega}_{ie}^{n} \mathbf{v}_{eb}^{n} \right) + \mathbf{C}_{e}^{i} \mathbf{\Omega}_{ie}^{e} \mathbf{\Omega}_{ie}^{e} \mathbf{r}_{eb}^{e} $ (19 February 2012) |
| 2.4.4 | 53 | In (2.109), \mathbf{I}_{bB}^{b} should be \mathbf{I}_{bB}^{b} . (3 July 2011) |
| 2.Refs | 53 | Ref [4] has been superseded by |
| | | Farrell, J. A., <i>Aided Navigation: GPS with High Rate Sensors</i> , New York: McGraw Hill, 2008. (11 April 2010) |
| 3.2.3 | 65 | In Figure 3.4, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1}^-$ should be $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{k-1}^+$. (29 April 2012) |
| 3.2.4 | 68 | Equation (3.23) is not generally correct. It only applies in the scalar case or where F is constant. For more information, see Kailath, T., <i>Linear Systems</i> , Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1980. (25 Jan 2009) |
| 3.3.2 | 76 | Table 3.1 assumes the covariance update is computed as $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}_k \mathbf{H}_k)\mathbf{P}_k^-$. However, if the number of measurements, <i>m</i> , is less than the number of states, <i>n</i> , it is more efficient to implement $\mathbf{P}_k^ \mathbf{K}_k (\mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{P}_k^-)$, which requires $2mn^2$ multiplications. (25 Jan 2009) |
| 3.3.2 | 76 | The scalar form of the measurement update is always more efficient where \mathbf{R}_k is diagonal, though the improvement is only significant where <i>m</i> is similar to or greater than <i>n</i> . In the scalar form, Equations (3.16) to (3.18) are repeated for each individual component of the measurement vector, noting that $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k^-$ and \mathbf{P}_k^- for the update with <i>i</i> th measurement are the same as $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k^+$ and \mathbf{P}_k^+ for the update with (i–1) th measurement. (25 Jan 2009) |
| 3.3.2 | 76 | Sparse matrix multiplication may also be used for the covariance update in cases where some of the columns of \mathbf{H}_k are zero. (25 Jan 2009) |
| 4.2.3 | 108 | Equation (4.8) is wrong (superscripts). It should be |
| | | $\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{ia}^{b} = \ddot{\mathbf{C}}_{i}^{b} \mathbf{r}_{ia}^{i} + 2\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{i}^{b} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{ia}^{i} + \mathbf{a}_{ia}^{b}.$ (6 February 2011) |

| Section(s) | Page(s) | Update/ correction/ addition |
|------------|---------|--|
| 4.3 | 111 | The sign is wrong in Equation (4.12). It should be |
| | | $\Delta \mathbf{f}_{ib}^{b} = - \begin{pmatrix} \left[\left(\omega_{ib,y}^{b} \right)^{2} + \left(\omega_{ib,z}^{b} \right)^{2} \right] \Delta x_{b} \\ \left[\left(\omega_{ib,z}^{b} \right)^{2} + \left(\omega_{ib,x}^{b} \right)^{2} \right] \Delta y_{b} \\ \left[\left(\omega_{ib,x}^{b} \right)^{2} + \left(\omega_{ib,y}^{b} \right)^{2} \right] \Delta z_{b} \end{pmatrix}. $ (2 December 2012) |
| 4.4.3 | 116 | $1 \ \mu g / \sqrt{Hz} = 9.80665 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m s}^{-1.5}$. (8 Jan 2012) |
| 4.4.5 | 118 | Equation (4.18) is an approximation, neglecting products of IMU errors. (3 July 2011) |
| 5.1.3 | 126 | Equation (5.14) is wrong (superscripts). It should be |
| | | $\mathbf{v}_{ib}^{i}(+) = \mathbf{v}_{ib}^{i}(-) + \mathbf{v}_{ib}^{i} + \gamma_{ib}^{i} \tau_{i} . (3 \text{ July 2011})$ |
| 5.3.1 | 131 | In equation (5.38), τ should be τ_i . (2 Jan 2008) |
| 5.3.4 | 134 | Equation (5.49) does not work at the poles for the longitude because $1/\cos L_b$ approaches infinity. (25 Jan 2009) |
| 5.3.5 | 135 | Equation (5.49) should not be used for the position update in the wander azimuth implementation. Instead the latitude and longitude should be updated using $\mathbf{C}_{e}^{n}(+) \approx \mathbf{C}_{w}^{n}(+)(\mathbf{I}_{3} - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{\Omega}_{ew}^{w}(+) + \mathbf{\Omega}_{ew}^{w}(-))\mathbf{\tau}_{i})\mathbf{C}_{n}^{w}(-)\mathbf{C}_{e}^{n}(-)$, where $\mathbf{C}_{e}^{n}(-)$ is obtained from $L_{b}(-)$ and $\lambda_{b}(-)$ using Equation (2.99), $\mathbf{\Omega}_{ew}^{w}(+/-)$ is computed using Equations (5.37), and $L_{b}(+)$ and $\lambda_{b}(+)$ may be obtained from $\mathbf{C}_{e}^{n}(+)$ using $L_{b} = \arctan\left(-\frac{C_{e3,3}^{n}}{C_{e1,3}^{n}}\right)$ and $\lambda_{b} = \arctan\left(-\frac{C_{e2,1}^{n}}{C_{e2,2}^{n}}\right)$. (31 Jan 2009) |
| 5.4.2 | 138 | Equation (5.62) should be |
| | | $\mathbf{C}_{b+}^{b-} \approx \mathbf{I}_{3} + \left(1 - \frac{\left \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{ib}^{b}\right ^{2}}{6}\right) \left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{ib}^{b} \wedge\right] + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\left \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{ib}^{b}\right ^{2}}{24}\right) \left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{ib}^{b} \wedge\right]^{2} \cdot \left(8 \text{ Ian 2012}\right)$ |
| 542 | 138 | Equation (5.63) is sometimes known as Rodrigues' formula (22 June 2008) |
| 5.4.2 | 139-40 | In equations (5.65–67) (5.69) and (5.71), τ should be τ_{c} (25 Jan 2009) |
| 5.4.2 | 139 | Equations (5.66) and (5.67) should be (31 Aug 2013) |
| | | $\mathbf{C}_{b}^{n}(+) \approx \mathbf{C}_{b}^{n}(-)\mathbf{C}_{b+}^{b-} - \left(\mathbf{\Omega}_{ie}^{n}(-) + \mathbf{\Omega}_{en}^{n}(-)\right)\mathbf{C}_{b}^{n}(-)\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}.$ (5.66) |
| | | $\mathbf{C}_{b}^{n}(+) = \left[\mathbf{I}_{3} - \left(\mathbf{\Omega}_{ie}^{n}(-) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_{en}^{n}(-) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Omega}_{en}^{n}(+)\right)\mathbf{r}_{i}\right]\mathbf{C}_{b}^{n}(-)\mathbf{C}_{b+}^{b-}.$ (5.67) |
| 5.4.3 | 142 | Equations (5.79) should be. (27 Jul 2014) |
| | | $\overline{\mathbf{C}}_{b}^{i} = \frac{1}{\tau_{i}} \mathbf{C}_{b}^{i}(-) \int_{t}^{t+\tau_{i}} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left\{ \left(t'/\tau_{i} \right) \left[\boldsymbol{a}_{ib}^{b} \wedge \right] \right\}^{r}}{r!} dt' \\ = \mathbf{C}_{b}^{i}(-) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left[\boldsymbol{a}_{ib}^{b} \wedge \right]^{r}}{(r+1)!} $ (5.79) |
| | | (The lower limit of the integral has been corrected). |
| 5.5.2 | 147 | Equations (5.87) should be. (27 Jul 2014) |
| | | $\mathbf{f}_{ib}^{b} = -\mathbf{C}_{n}^{b} \mathbf{g}_{b}^{n} (L_{b}, h_{b}). $ (5.87) |

| Section(s) | Page(s) | Update/ correction/ addition |
|------------------|--------------|--|
| 5.5.2 | 148 | Equation (5.89) is wrong (in the left-hand equation). It should be |
| | | $\theta_{nb} = \arctan\left(\frac{f_{ib,x}^{b}}{\sqrt{f_{ib,y}^{b}}^{2} + f_{ib,z}^{b}}^{2}\right), \qquad \phi_{nb} = \arctan 2\left(-f_{ib,y}^{b}, -f_{ib,z}^{b}\right). $ (7 August 2011) |
| 5.6 | 152 | Equation (5.96) is wrong (in the second row). It should be |
| | | $\delta \mathbf{C}_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\alpha}^{\beta} \mathbf{C}_{\beta}^{\alpha} = \mathbf{C}_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left(\delta \mathbf{C}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{C}_{\beta}^{\alpha} (12 \text{ June 2010})$ $\left(\delta \mathbf{C}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \right)^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{C}_{\beta}^{\alpha} \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ |
| 5.6.2 | 155 | In the last row of Table 5.2, 'accelerometer' should be 'gyro'. (22 June 2008) |
| 5.8 | 158-159 | Inertial navigation using a single z-axis gyro and a full accelerometer triad is viable for land vehicles if the fact that the direction of travel of a non-steering axle is constrained to the vehicle body frame x-axis is exploited; this is known as a non-holonomic constraint. (25 Jan 2009) |
| 6.1.2 | 164 | In Figure 6.3, the second $t_{st,j}$ should be $t_{sa,j}$. (20 Sep 2009) |
| 6.1.3 | 167-168 | The spread spectrum technique used for GNSS is direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS). Other methods include frequency hopping, time hopping and chirping. (16 Feb 2008) |
| 6.2 | 171 | GPS Block III satellites will not have the capability to implement selective availability. (2 Jan 2008) |
| 6.2 | 171 | The 36 satellite maximum refers to the number of ranging codes. The current Operational Control Segment is limited to 31 satellites, but this will be increased under the OCX control segment update of the GPS III program. (16 Feb 2008) |
| 6.2.1 | 172 | The launch of the first GPS Block IIF satellite has been delayed to February 2009. (2 Jan 2008) and further delayed to 2010. (20 Sep 2009) |
| 6.2.1 | 172 | The GPS Block III satellites will be divided into three generations, Blocks IIIA, IIIB and IIIC, with different capabilities. (2 Jan 2008) Current plans are to commission 12 Block IIF, 8 Block IIIA, 8 Block IIIB and 16 Block IIIC satellites. (16 Feb 2008) |
| 6.2.1 | 173 | As of September 2007, the number of GPS uplink stations has been increased from 4 to 21 by incorporating the capability to command satellites through the 17 Air Force Satelite Control Network (AFSCN) stations. (2 Jan 2008) |
| 6.2.2 | 173 | GPS L5 signals commenced on satellite IIR 20(M) in April 2009, ahead of the block IIF launches. (20 Sep 2009) |
| 6.2.2 & 6.4.2 | 173 & 184 | MBOC also stands for multiplexed binary offset carrier. (2 Jan 2008) |
| 6.2.2 | 173 | The GPS L1C implementation of MBOC will be a time-multiplexed BOC (TMBOC), such that 4 out of every 33 spreading code chips are modulated with the $BOC_s(6,1)$ sub-carrier and the remaining 29 chips with the $BOC_s(1,1)$ sub-carrier. (2 Jan 2008) |
| 6.2.4 | 178 | In Table 6.3, the WAAS satellites at longitudes -178° and -142° closed in 2007. (2 Jan 2008) |
| 6.2.4 | 178 | In Table 6.3, GAGAN started testing in 2007. (12 Jan 2008) |
| 6.2.4 | 178 | The Russian SBAS system, GLONASS System of Differential Corrections and Monitoring (SDCM) has been testing since 2007 and carries both GPS and GLONASS differential corrections and integrity alerts. (18 October 2008) |

| Section(s) | Page(s) | Update/ correcti | on/ addition | | | |
|------------|---------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 6.3.2 | 180-181 | The following GLONASS CDMA signals are currently proposed for introduction across three generations of GLONASS satellite: K1, K2, and KM: | | | | |
| | | | Carrier Frequency | Modulation and Chipping Rate | Navigation Message Rate | Satellite |
| | | Signal | (MHz) (× | $1.023 \text{ Mchip s}^{-1}$) | $(symbol s^{-1})$ | Blocks |
| | | L1OC-d | 1600.995, Note 1 | BSPK 1 | TBD | From K2 |
| | | L1OC-p | 1600.995, Note 1 | $BOC_{s}(1,1)$ | None | From K2 |
| | | L1SC | 1600.995 | $BOC_{s}(5, 2.5)$ | RAU | From K2 |
| | | L1OCM-d | 1575.42 | $BOC_{s}(1,1)$ | TBD | From KM |
| | | L1OCM-p | 1575.42 | $BOC_{s}(1,1)$ | TBD | From KM |
| | | L2OC | 1248.06, Note 1 | $BOC_{s}(1,1)$ | None | From K2 or KM |
| | | L2SC-a | 1248.06, Note 1 | BSPK 1 | RAU | From K2 |
| | | L2SC-b | 1248.06 | $BOC_{s}(5, 2.5)$ | RAU | From K2 |
| | | L3OC-d | 1202.025 | BPSK 10 | 200 | From K1 |
| | | L3OC-p | 1202.025 | BPSK 10 | None | From K1 |
| | | L5OCM-d | 1176.45 | BPSK 10 | TBD | From KM |
| | | L5OCM-p | 1176.45 | BPSK 10 | TBD | From KM |
| | | Note 1: A time of | division multiplex | of alternating chips | s is proposed for t | the two L1OC |
| | | signals and for I | L2OC and L2SC-a. | | | |
| | | (RAU = restrict) | ed to authorized us | ers) | | |
| | | Test broadcasts | of the L3 signals c | ommenced in 2012 | 2. (2 December 2 | 012) |
| 6.3.2 | 181 | GLONASS is no | ow using its final c | hannel allocation of | of –7 to +6. (18 O | october 2008) |
| 6.4.2 | 184 | In Table 6.5, the the second set o 2008) | e first set of signal f signal names was | names was used d adopted as the off | luring the signal of ficial signal name | design process, while s during 2007. (2 Jan |
| 6.4.2 | 184 | The two Galileo with navigation | PRS signals will data modulated on | use time-division alternate bits only | data multiplexin y. (2 Jan 2008) | g (see Section 6.2.2) |
| 6.4.2 | 184 | The Galileo imp | elementation of ME | BOC is known as a | composite BOC | (CBOC). The sum or |
| | | difference of a | $\sqrt{10/11}$ amplitude | BOC _s (1,1) sub-car | rier and a $\sqrt{1/11}$ | amplitude BOC (6.1) |
| | | sub-carrier is ap | plied to each sprea | ding-code chip. (2 | Jan 2008) | 1 3()) |
| 6.5.1 | 187 | The Chinese GN | NSS is now known | as Beidou, instead | of Compass. (23 | February 2013) |

| Section(s) | Page(s) | Update/ correction/ addition | | | |
|------------|---------|---|--|---------------------------------|---|
| 6.5.1 | 187-188 | The Beidou (Compass) signal | plans have been modified | as follows: | |
| | | Name Carrier frequency | Modulation | Data | Service |
| | | (10112) B1-C- 1575 42 | MBOC (see below) | 100 | Onen |
| | | $D1-C_D = 1575.42$ | MBOC (see below) | 100 No | Open |
| | | BI-C _P 15/5.42 | MBOC (see below) | INO 100 | Open Authorized |
| | | BI _D 15/5.42 | $BOC_{s}(14,2)$ | 100 N | Authorized |
| | | B1 _P 15/5.42 | $BOC_s(14,2)$ | NO 50 | Authorized |
| | | $B2a_D$ 11/6.45 | BPSK 10 | 50 | Open |
| | | $B2a_P$ 1176.45 | BPSK 10 | No | Open |
| | | B2b _D 1207.14 | BPSK 10 | 100 | Open |
| | | B2b _P 1207.14 | BPSK 10 | NO | Open |
| | | Above four signals together co | mprise an AltBOC(15,10 |) signal at 119 | 1.795 MHz |
| | | B3 1268.52 | QPSK 10 | 500 | Authorized |
| | | B3-A _D 1268.52 | $BOC_{c}(15,2.5)$ | 100 | Authorized |
| | | B3-A _P 1268.52 | $BOC_{c}(15, 2.5)$ | No | Authorized |
| | | MBOC signals are $\frac{10}{11}$ BOC _s (1 | ,1), $\frac{1}{11}$ BOC _s (6,1) | | |
| | | Note that the Open service sig and modulations. (11 April 20 | nals match the common (| SPS, Galileo ar | nd QZSS frequencies |
| | | For the current regional i implemented: | mplementation, a simp | ler frequency | scheme is being |
| | | Name Carrier frequency (N | (Hz) Modulation | | Service |
| | | B1I 1561.098 | BPSK 2 | | Open |
| | | B1O 1561.098 | BPSK 2 | | Authorized |
| | | B2I 1207.14 | BPSK 10 | | Open |
| | | B2O 1207.14 | BPSK 10 | | Authorized |
| | | B3 1268.52 | BPSK 10 | | Authorized |
| | | (25 August 2010) | | | |
| 6.5.3 | 188 | The inclined IRNSS satellite o | rbits are geosynchronous, | not geostation | ary. (11 April 2010) |
| 6.6.3 | 190 | GPS will broadcast GPS-GLC signal navigation data message | ONASS and GPS-Galileo e (Block IIIA satellites on | time conversion wards). (2 Jan | ion data on the L1C 2008) |
| 6.6.3 | 190 | On 20 September 2007, GLON to the ITRF than its predecesso | ASS switched to the PZ- or. Conversion is by an ~0 | 90.02 datum, .4 m origin shi | which is much closer ift. (2 Jan 2008) |
| 7.1.1 | 200 | In Equation (7.6), v_o should be | v. (22 June 2008) | | |
| 7.1.2 | 204 | In Equation (7.28), L should be | e I. (12 Jan 2008) | | |
| 7.1.4 | 209 | In Equation (7.50), the $\delta\rho$ ter | ms are the errors in the | pseudo-ranges | s estimated from the |
| | | navigation solution, not the pse | eudo-range measurement | errors. (20 Sep | 2009) |
| 7.1.4 | 210 | Equation (7.57) is wrong. It sh | ould be | | |
| | | | $\left(g_{NN} g_{NE} g_{ND} \right)$ | g_{NT} | |
| | | | | a | |
| | | | $\mathbf{G}_{n}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{G}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{NE} & g_{EE} & g_{ED} \end{bmatrix}$ | \mathcal{S}_{ET} . | |
| | | | g_{ND} g_{ED} g_{DD} | g_{DT} | |
| | | | g_{NT} g_{ET} g_{DT} | n) | |
| | | (20 Sep 2009) | | | |
| 7.2.2 | 213 | New chip-scale atomic clock | (CSAC) technology ach | nieves one pa | rt in 10 ¹⁰ frequency |
| | | stability over a second and or | he part in10 ¹¹ over an ho | ur, equivalent | to 1 meter per hour |
| | | pseudo-range drift. Reference: | • | × 1 | 1 |
| | | Kitching, J., "Time for a Bette | er Receiver: Chip-Scale A | tomic Frequer | ncy Reference," GPS |
| | | World, November 2007, pp. 52 | 2-57. (16 Feb 2008) | | · |

| Section(s) | Page(s) | Update/ correction/ addition |
|------------|---------|--|
| 7.2.3 | 215 | Equation (7.60) is wrong. It should be |
| | | $\Delta f_{ca} = -\frac{f_{ca}}{2} \frac{\partial \rho_R}{\partial r_R} \approx -\frac{f_{ca}}{2} \dot{\rho}_R$ |
| | | $c \partial t_{sa} = c''$ |
| | | Note that there are additional frequency shifts due to relativistic time dilation as described in [38]. (16 Feb 2008) |
| 7.2.4 | 217 | Equation (7.62) is wrong. It should be |
| | | $I_{C}(t_{sa}) = \cos\left[2\pi \left(f_{IF} + \Delta \widetilde{f}_{ca}\right) t_{sa} + \widetilde{\phi}_{ca}\right]$ |
| | | $Q_{C}(t_{sa}) = \sin \left[2\pi \left(f_{IF} + \Delta \tilde{f}_{ca} \right) t_{sa} + \tilde{\phi}_{ca} \right]^{2}$ |
| | | (20 Sep 2009) |
| 7.2.4 | 218 | Equation (7.63) is wrong. It should be \sim |
| | | $I_{0}(t_{sa}) = A_{0}C(t_{st})D(t_{st})\cos\left[2\pi(\Delta f_{ca} - \Delta f_{ca})t_{sa} + \phi_{ca} + \delta\phi_{IF} - \phi_{ca}\right] + w_{I0}(t_{sa})$ |
| | | $Q_0(t_{sa}) = A_0 C(t_{st}) D(t_{st}) \sin\left[2\pi \left(\Delta f_{ca} - \Delta \tilde{f}_{ca}\right) t_{sa} + \phi_{ca} + \delta \phi_{IF} - \tilde{\phi}_{ca}\right] + w_{Q0}(t_{sa})$ |
| | | (20 Sep 2009) |
| 7.2.4.1 | 222 | Single lobe BOC processing requires filtering out of the other lobe to prevent waveform distortion. (20 Sep 2009) |
| 7.2.4.2 | 223 | The power spectral density, n_0 , used here is single-sided and is thus multiplied by the single-sided bandwidth to obtain the noise power. Single-sided PSD is twice the double- |
| | | sided PSD (which is multiplied by the double-sided bandwidth to obtain the same noise |
| 720 | 221 | power. (20 Sep 2009) Equation (7.80) is wrong. It should be |
| 1.3.2 | 231 | Equation (7.89) is wrong. It should be |
| | | $N = \frac{x}{1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}$ (2 December 2012) |
| | | $\lim_{x\to 0} \mathbb{E}[D(x)]$ |
| 7.3.3 | 236 | Equation (7.101) is wrong. It should be |
| | | $\delta \phi_{ca}$ (2 December 2012) |
| | | $N = \frac{1}{\lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathbb{E}[\Phi(\delta\phi_{ca})]} \cdot (2 \operatorname{Decentred} 2012)$ |
| 7.3.3 | 238 | Equation (7.106) is wrong. It should be |
| | | δf_{ca} (2 December 2012) |
| | | $N = \frac{1}{\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[F(\delta f_{ca})]} \cdot (2 \operatorname{Decentred} 2012)$ |
| 7.3.3 | 234-240 | In this section ϕ_{cr} is defined differently from the rest of the book (4 Sep 2011) |
| 733 | 235 | |
| 1.5.5 | 233 | In Figure 7.19, the lower of the two $\Delta f_{ca,k}^+$ should be $\Delta f_{ca,k}^+$. (12 Jan 2008) |
| 7.3.3 | 236-237 | The carrier phase discriminator Φ_{QOI} and carrier frequency discriminator F_{COD} can produce very large outlying values when the denominator is close to zero, which can happen in poor signal to noise conditions. Therefore, both discriminators should be implemented with an output limiter to prevent these outliers from severally discriming tracking (25 Ian 2009). |
| 7.3.3 | 236 | Equation (7.102) should be |
| | | 1 1 1 |
| | | $I_{V_{IQP}} = \frac{1}{2\sigma_{IQ}^2(\tilde{c}/\tilde{n}_0)\tau_a}$ |
| | | $N_{} = N_{} = \frac{1}{}$ |
| | | $\sigma_{IQ}\sqrt{2(\widetilde{c}/\widetilde{n}_{0})\tau_{a}}$ |
| | | $N_{QOI} = N_{ATAN} = N_{ATAN2} = 1$ |
| 7.2.2 | 229 | (7 Jan 2012) |
| 1.3.3 | 238 | Equation (7.107) should be |
| | | $N_{DDC} = N_{CP} = \frac{1}{4\pi\sigma_{12}^2(\widetilde{c}/\widetilde{n}_2)\tau^2}$ |
| | | $N = N = \frac{N}{10} = \frac{1}{10}$ |
| | | $Iv_{COD} = Iv_{ATAN} = Iv_{ATAN 2} = \frac{1}{2\pi\tau_a}$ |
| | | (7 Jan 2012) |

| Section(s) | Page(s) | Update/ correction/ addition |
|------------|---------|--|
| 7.3.7 | 244 | Equation (7.128) is wrong. Considering the Doppler shift only, it should be $\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_R}{\partial t_{sa}} = -\frac{c}{f_{ca}} \Delta \tilde{f}_{ca}, \tilde{\rho}_R \approx -\frac{c}{f_{ca}} \Delta \tilde{f}_{ca} \cdot$ For users with a high velocity (with respect to the Earth), the measured frequency shift, $\Delta \tilde{f}_{ca}$, should first be corrected for the effects of residual relativistic time dilation as described in [38]. (16 Feb 2008) |
| 7.3.7 | 244 | Equation (7.129) should be $2\widetilde{a}$ |
| | | $\Delta \widetilde{\rho}_{ADR}(t_{sa}) = \int_{t_o}^{t_{sa}} \frac{\partial \rho_R}{\partial t_{sa}}(t) dt .$ (16 Feb 2008) |
| 7.4.2 | 248 | The dry component of the troposphere propagation delay varies with the weather with a standard deviation of about 3%. (20 Sep 2009) |
| 7.4.2 | 248 | Unsmoothed ionosphere free combination of dual frequency measurements results in increased multipath errors as well as tracking errors. (22 June 2008) |
| 7.4.3 | 253 | Equation (7.158) should be $\delta \rho_{w_{lag,j}} = \frac{\left(\tilde{\rho}_{R} - \dot{\rho}_{R}\right)\tau_{a}}{K_{co}} = \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{R} - \dot{\rho}_{R}}{4B_{L_{c}CO}}$ $x_{lag} = \frac{f_{co}}{4B_{L_{c}CO}}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{R} - \dot{\rho}_{R}\right)$ |
| | | (31 August 2013) |
| 7.4.4 | 254 | Reflections off water are also a significant cause of multipath. (10 May 2008) |
| 7.5.3 | 272 | Equation (7.212) should be $\delta z_{rj,k}^{-} = \dot{\rho}_{Cj,k} - \hat{\rho}_{Cj,k}^{-} + w_{mrj,k}$ $\approx \dot{\rho}_{Rj,k} - \hat{\rho}_{Rj,k}^{-} + w_{mrj,k}^{-}$ $= -\frac{c}{f_{ca}} \delta \widetilde{f}_{caj,k}$ (29 April 2012) |
| 7.5.4 | 273 | Note that the <i>combined</i> satellite clock and ephemeris range error SD is now 1.0 m for GPS and 1.8 m for GLONASS. These figures will continue to reduce. The target for the GPS III satellites and control segment is 0.25 m. (20 Sep 2009) |
| 7.5.4 | 273-274 | In Table 7.6, the residual troposphere error SD is wrong; 0.2 m is the zenith value not the average value, which is about 0.6 m. Consequently, the smallest position error SDs in Table 7.7 should be increased by about 10% (the larger values are unaffected). (20 Sep 2009) |
| 8.3 | 289-294 | A new book on assisted and high-sensitivity GNSS is: Van Diggelen, F., <i>A-GPS: Assisted GPS, GNSS, and SBAS</i> , Norwood, MA: Artech House, April 2009. (11 Apr 2010) |
| 8.4.2 | 295 | Receivers with multiple narrowly-spaced correlators are sometimes known as rake receivers. (16 Feb 2008) |
| 9.1-9.3 | 303-312 | The reissued book, Forssell, B., <i>Radionavigation Systems</i> , Norwood, MA: Artech House, February 2008 (originally published 1991), provides information on Loran-C, VOR, DME, and direction finding with NDB. It also provides information on historical systems, such as Decca, Omega and Transit. (12 Jan 2008) |

| Section(s) | Page(s) | Update/ correction/ addition |
|------------|---------|--|
| 9.1 | 304 | Historical DME ranging accuracy was of order 500 m (1σ). With modern equipment, an accuracy or about 100 m is typical. A 20 m accuracy is only obtainable where the interrogator and transponder are close (27 Sep 2009) |
| 9.1 | 303-305 | RSBN, a Russian acronym for Radio engineers' system of short-range navigation, is a similar system to VOR, DME and TACAN. It provides both two-way ranging and bearing information (with respect to true north). It uses the 116–118 MHz band for angular positioning and the 873–1001 MHz band for both ranging and angular positioning. Coverage and accuracy are similar to VOR, DME and TACAN. RSBN is used in Eastern Europe, Russia and surrounding countries by both military and civil users, though some airlines have switched to VOR and DME (25 Ian/27 Sep 2009) |
| 9.2.2 | 308 | The majority of the Eurofix bits are used for error correction. The bit rate available for message content is $18.7-37.4$ bit s ⁻¹ , depending on GRI. |
| 9.2.3 | 308 | Equation (9.3) should be: $\widetilde{\rho}_{Cj} = s(L_{ij}, \lambda_{ij}; \hat{L}_a, \hat{\lambda}_a) + \delta \hat{\rho}_{rc} + \delta \rho_{ij}^+$ where $s(L_{ij}, \lambda_{ij_t}; \hat{L}_a, \hat{\lambda}_a) \approx u(L_{ij}, \lambda_{ij}; \hat{L}_a, \hat{\lambda}_a)$ |
| | | $\times \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(R_N^2(L_{ij}) + R_N^2(\hat{L}_a) \right) + \frac{\sin^2 \psi_{nu}}{2} \left[\frac{\cos^2 \hat{L}_a}{\cos^2 L_{ji}} \left(R_E^2(L_{ij}) - R_N^2(L_{ij}) \right) + R_E^2(\hat{L}_a) - R_N^2(\hat{L}_a) \right]^2}, $ $u \left(L_{ij}, \lambda_{ij_t}; \hat{L}_a, \hat{\lambda}_a \right) \approx \arccos \left[\sin L_{ij} \sin \hat{L}_a + \cos L_{ij} \cos \hat{L}_a \cos \left(\lambda_{ij} - \hat{\lambda}_a \right) \right] $ and |
| | | $\psi_{nu} \approx \arcsin\left(\frac{\sin(\lambda_{ij} - \hat{\lambda}_{a})}{\sin[u(L_{ij}, \lambda_{ij}; \hat{L}_{a}, \hat{\lambda}_{a})]\cos L_{ij}}\right) \qquad L_{ij} \ge \hat{L}_{a} $ (11 Apr 2010) |
| | | $\pi - \arcsin\left(\frac{\pi}{\sin\left[u\left(L_{ij},\lambda_{ij};\hat{L}_{a},\hat{\lambda}_{a}\right)\right]\cos L_{ij}}\right) L_{ij} < L_{a}$ |
| 9.2.3 | 308-310 | To compute a position solution using Loran-C, as opposed to ELoran, pseudo-range measurements, it is necessary to estimate a separate receiver clock offset, $\delta \hat{\rho}_{rc}$, for each Loran-C chain used. Thus to obtain position information from a Loran-C chain, at least two |
| 9.3 | 311-312 | transmitters from that chain must be received. (19 Apr 2008) PRMG, a Russian acronym for Approach and Landing Radio Beacon Group System, is a landing aid, similar to ILS. It operates on the same UHF frequencies as RSBN and has the same user base (25 Jan 2000) |
| 9.3 | 311-312 | The Microwave Landing System (MLS) is used by the US Air Force and by British Airways at Heathrow Airport in London. It was originally conceived as a replacement for ILS, but has been superseded by the development of GNSS-based landing systems. It is described in Section C.6.6 of the on-line history appendix, (25 Jan 2009) |
| 9.4 | 312-316 | A new book on short-range terrestrial radio navigation is: Bensky, A., <i>Wireless Positioning Technologies and Applications</i> , Norwood, MA: Artech House, January 2008. (2 Jan 2008) |
| 9.4.4 | 315 | Positioning using an RSS database is sometimes known as "fingerprinting". (16 Feb 2008) |
| 9.4.4 | 315 | A further example of a WLAN positioning using an RSS database is the German ipos system. (10 May 2008) |
| 9.4.5 | 315 | With a 1-GHz bandwidth, multipath components separated by 0.3 m may be resolved. (11 Apr 2010) |
| 9.4.5 | 315-316 | TRT is now selling products incorporating its FH-UWB positioning technology under the names Indoor Positioning System (IPS) and EUROPCOM. (12 Jan 2008) |
| 9.4.5 | 315-316 | A new book on UWB positioning is: Sahinoghu, Z., S. Gezici, and I. Gvenc, <i>Ultra Wideband Positioning Systems</i> , Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, August 2008. (12 Jan 2008) |

| Section(s) | Page(s) | Update/ correction/ addition |
|------------|---------|--|
| 9.5 | 317 | In a relative navigation network, two participants must be in known locations unless the relative ranging measurements are accompanied by direction measurements. (18 October 2008) |
| 10.1.1 | 322 | Equation (5.89) is wrong (in the left-hand equation). It should be |
| | | $\theta_{nb} = \arctan\left(\frac{f_{ib,x}^{b}}{\sqrt{f_{ib,y}^{b^{-2}} + f_{ib,z}^{b^{-2}}}}\right), \qquad \phi_{nb} = \arctan 2\left(-f_{ib,y}^{b}, -f_{ib,z}^{b}\right). $ (7 August 2011) |
| 10.3 | 330 | An odometer is sometimes known as a rotary encoder. (16 Feb 2008) |
| 10.3 | 335 | A further source of error in odometry is uneven road surfaces. This impacts yaw rate measurement via differential odometry much more than velocity measurement. (2 Jan 2008) |
| 11.1.1 | 347 | Equation (11.3) should be |
| | | $\mathbf{H}_{T} = \left(\frac{\partial h_{t,D}(\hat{L}_{b}, \hat{\lambda}_{b})}{\partial L} \frac{\partial h_{t,D}(\hat{L}_{b}, \hat{\lambda}_{b})}{\partial \lambda} 1 0\right) \cdot (18 \text{ Apr } 2011)$ |
| 12.1.1 | 365-368 | The open-loop and closed-loop INS correction implementations are sometimes known as feed-forward and feedback complementary filters, respectively. (25 Jan 2009) |
| 12.1.1 | 366 | In the line before (12.1), $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\beta b}^{\gamma}$ should be $\widetilde{\mathbf{r}}_{\beta b}^{\gamma}$. (3 July 2011) |
| 12.1.1 | 366 | In the last paragraph, it is the position, velocity, and attitude <i>error</i> estimates that are zeroed after feedback. (3 July 2011) |
| 12.1.1 | 367 | In the last line, it should be <i>integration</i> algorithms. (3 July 2011) |
| 12.1.4 | 371-372 | GNSS tracking loop aiding using the INS is sometimes known as ultra-tightly-coupled (UTC) integration, an alternative definition for the term. (18 October 2008) |
| 12.2.2.1 | 378 | Equation (12.18) is wrong (in the final subscript). It should be |
| | | $\left[\delta \dot{\Psi}_{ib}^{i} \wedge \right] \approx \delta \dot{\mathbf{C}}_{b}^{i}$. (6 February 2011) |
| 12.2.2.1 | 379 | Prior to (12.19), it should state "From (5.95)" (23 February 2013) |
| 12.2.2.2 | 380 | In equation (12.30), \mathbf{b}_{as} should be $\mathbf{b}_{a.}$ (2 Jan 2008) |
| 12.2.4 | 384 | Equation (12.53) should be |
| | | $ \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{b}^{n} \left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{ie}^{b} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ie}^{b} \right) + \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{b}^{n} \left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{en}^{b} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{en}^{b} \right) \approx \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{b}^{n} \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{n}^{b} - \mathbf{C}_{n}^{b} \right) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{ie}^{n} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{en}^{n} \right) + \left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{ie}^{n} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ie}^{n} \right) + \left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{en}^{n} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{en}^{n} \right) \\ \approx \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{in}^{n} \delta \boldsymbol{\psi}_{nb}^{n} + \left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{ie}^{n} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ie}^{n} \right) + \left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{en}^{n} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{en}^{n} \right) $ |
| | | (19 February 2012) |
| 12.2.4 | 385 | Equation (12.56) omits the direction of the gravity term. It should be |
| | | $\delta \mathbf{\dot{v}}_{eb}^{n} \approx -\left(\mathbf{\hat{C}}_{b}^{n} \mathbf{\hat{f}}_{bb}^{b}\right) \wedge \delta \mathbf{\psi}_{nb}^{n} - \left(\mathbf{\Omega}_{en}^{n} + 2\mathbf{\Omega}_{ie}^{n}\right) \delta \mathbf{v}_{eb}^{n} + \mathbf{v}_{eb}^{n} \wedge \left(\mathbf{\widetilde{\omega}}_{en}^{n} - \mathbf{\omega}_{en}^{n}\right)$ |
| | | $+ 2\mathbf{v}_{eb}^{n} \wedge \left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{ie}^{n} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ie}^{n}\right) - \frac{2g_{0}(\hat{L}_{b})}{r_{eS}^{e}(\hat{L}_{b})} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_{D}^{n} \delta h_{b} + \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{b}^{n} \mathbf{b}_{a}$ |
| | | where $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_D^n$ is the down unit vector of the local navigation frame. (25 Aug 2010) |

| Section(s) | Page(s) | Update/ correction/ addition |
|------------|----------|--|
| 12.2.5 | 388 | Equation (12.69) is wrong. It should be |
| | | $n_{bad}^2 = rac{\sigma_{bad}^2}{	au_{bad}}, \qquad n_{bgd}^2 = rac{\sigma_{bgd}^2}{	au_{bgd}} \; \cdot$ |
| | | (19 Apr 2008) |
| 12.3.1 | 390-393 | In the loosely-coupled measurement model, mixing latitude and longitude in radians with height in meters can cause numerical problems in the $HPH^{T} + R$ matrix inversion. One solution is to change the latitude and longitude units to mrad. (11 April 2010) |
| 12.3.1 | 390 | In equation (12.79), $\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{b}^{b}$ should be $\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{ib}^{b}$. (31 Jan 2009) |
| 12.3.1 | 390, 392 | In equations (12.80) and (12.90), $\hat{\Omega}_{en}^{n}$ should be omitted. (31 Jan 2009) |
| | | Also, the Position of T in Equation (12.90) is wrong. It should be |
| | | $ \begin{aligned} H_{r1}^{n} &\approx \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{r}^{p} \left[\left(\hat{\mathbf{C}}_{b}^{n} \mathbf{l}_{ba}^{b} \right) \wedge \right] \\ H_{v1}^{n} &\approx \left[\left\{ \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{b}^{n} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{bb}^{b} \wedge \mathbf{l}_{ba}^{b} \right) - \hat{\mathbf{\Omega}}_{ie}^{n} \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{b}^{n} \mathbf{l}_{ba}^{b} \right\} \wedge \right]. (6 \text{ February 2011}) \\ H_{v5}^{n} &\approx \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{b}^{n} \left[\mathbf{l}_{ba}^{b} \wedge \right] \end{aligned} $ |
| 12.4.2 | 400 | For tightly coupled integration of GNSS attitude, the measurement noise is not correlated between antennas; only the range biases are correlated in this way (2 October 2010) |
| 13.1.1 | 409 | In equation (13.3), $\hat{\Omega}_{en}^{n}$ should be omitted. (31 Jan 2009) |
| 13.1.1 | 410 | Equation (13.4) is wrong (in the velocity term). It should be |
| | | $\mathbf{x}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta \mathbf{\Psi}_{\gamma b}^{\gamma} \\ \delta \mathbf{v}_{\beta b}^{\gamma} \\ \mathbf{b}_{a} \\ \mathbf{b}_{g} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \{\beta, \gamma\} \in \{i, i\}, \{e, e\}, \{e, n\}^{\circ} \text{(6 February 2011)}$ |
| 13.1.2 | 411 | Equation (13.7) is wrong (final subscript). It should be |
| | | $\mathbf{I}_{3} + \left[\delta \mathbf{z}_{A,k}^{\gamma-} \wedge \right] = \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{r}^{\gamma} \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{b}^{r} \hat{\mathbf{C}}_{\gamma}^{b} \gamma \in i, e, n. $ (6 February 2011) |
| 13.3 | 416 | Equation (13.28) is wrong (initial superscript). It should be |
| | | $\mathbf{H}_{ZV,k}^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{3} & -\mathbf{I}_{3} & \mathbf{\Omega}_{ie}^{i} & 0_{3} & 0_{3} \end{pmatrix}.$ (6 February 2011) |
| 14.1.4 | 426-429 | In federated integration architectures, there is an option to implement separate inertial or dead-reckoning navigation equations for each local filter, provided the raw IMU or DR sensor measurements are available. |
| 14.1.6 | 431 | Equation (14.10) is wrong (in the final subscript). It should be |
| | | $\mathbf{H}_{i}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\partial \mathbf{m}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{Nav}^{\gamma}} & 0 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{m}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{Sensor-i}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{x}^{\gamma} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}^{\gamma}}.$ (6 February 2011) |
| 14.1.7 | 432 | Equation (14.14) is wrong (in the final subscript). It should be |
| | | $\mathbf{H}_{i}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\partial \mathbf{m}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{Re}f}^{\gamma}} & 0 & \frac{\partial \mathbf{m}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\text{Sensor-}i}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{x}^{\gamma} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}^{\gamma}} \cdot (6 \text{ February 2011})$ |

| Section(s) | Page(s) | Update/ correction/ addition |
|------------|---------|---|
| 14.3.1.1 | 438 | Equation (14.33) is wrong (in the first row). It should be |
| | | $\hat{\theta}_{nbA} = \arctan\left[\frac{\left(\tilde{f}_{ib,x}^{b} - \hat{b}_{a,x}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\tilde{f}_{ib,y}^{b} - \hat{b}_{a,y}\right)^{2} + \left(\tilde{f}_{ib,z}^{b} - \hat{b}_{a,z}\right)^{2}}}\right]. (7 \text{ August 2011})$ $\hat{\phi}_{nbA} = \arctan 2\left[-\left(\tilde{f}_{ib,y}^{b} - \hat{b}_{a,y}\right) - \left(\tilde{f}_{ib,z}^{b} - \hat{b}_{a,z}\right)\right]$ |
| 14.3.3 | 442 | Equation (14.47) is wrong (in the third row). It should be |
| | | $\delta \mathbf{z}_{O,k}^{-} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{v}_{erO}(1-\hat{s}_{or}) - \hat{v}_{er} \\ \widetilde{\psi}_{nbO}(1-\hat{s}_{or}) - \frac{\hat{v}_{er}}{T_{r}} \hat{s}_{\Delta or} - \hat{\psi}_{nb} \\ - \hat{v}_{x} \end{pmatrix}_{k} $ (6 February 2011) |
| 15.4.1 | 462-463 | In the solution separation RAIM method, the correct solution is not necessarily furthest away from the others. |
| A.4 | 477 | Equation (A.33) should be |
| | | $\operatorname{adj} \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & -\alpha_{21} & \cdots & (-1)^{m+1} \alpha_{n1} \\ -\alpha_{12} & \alpha_{22} & \cdots & (-1)^{m+2} \alpha_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (-1)^{n+1} \alpha_{1m} & (-1)^{n+2} \alpha_{2m} & \cdots & \alpha_{nm} \end{pmatrix}. $ (16 Aug 2009) $ \mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{r+i} A_{ri} \alpha_{ri}$ |